

## Equality Impact Analysis to enable informed decisions

### The purpose of this document is to:-

- I. help decision makers fulfil their duties under the Equality Act 2010 and
- II. for you to evidence the positive and adverse impacts of the proposed change on people with protected characteristics and ways to mitigate or eliminate any adverse impacts.

### Using this form

This form must be updated and reviewed as your evidence on a proposal for a project/service change/policy/commissioning of a service or decommissioning of a service evolves taking into account any consultation feedback, significant changes to the proposals and data to support impacts of proposed changes. The key findings of the most up to date version of the Equality Impact Analysis must be explained in the report to the decision maker and the Equality Impact Analysis must be attached to the decision making report.

**\*\*Please make sure you read the information below so that you understand what is required under the Equality Act 2010\*\***

### Equality Act 2010

The Equality Act 2010 applies to both our workforce and our customers. Under the Equality Act 2010, decision makers are under a personal duty, to have due (that is proportionate) regard to the need to protect and promote the interests of persons with protected characteristics.

### Protected characteristics

The protected characteristics under the Act are: age; disability; gender reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; sexual orientation.

### Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010

Section 149 requires a public authority to have due regard to the need to:

- Eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation, and any other conduct that is prohibited by/or under the Act
- Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share relevant protected characteristics and persons who do not share those characteristics
- Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

The purpose of Section 149 is to get decision makers to consider the impact their decisions may or will have on those with protected characteristics and by evidencing the impacts on people with protected characteristics decision makers should be able to demonstrate 'due regard'.

### **Decision makers duty under the Act**

Having had careful regard to the Equality Impact Analysis, and also the consultation responses, decision makers are under a personal duty to have due regard to the need to protect and promote the interests of persons with protected characteristics (see above) and to:-

- (i) consider and analyse how the decision is likely to affect those with protected characteristics, in practical terms,
- (ii) remove any unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct,
- (iii) consider whether practical steps should be taken to mitigate or avoid any adverse consequences that the decision is likely to have, for persons with protected characteristics and, indeed, to consider whether the decision should not be taken at all, in the interests of persons with protected characteristics,
- (iv) consider whether steps should be taken to advance equality, foster good relations and generally promote the interests of persons with protected characteristics, either by varying the recommended decision or by taking some other decision.

## **Conducting an Impact Analysis**

The Equality Impact Analysis is a process to identify the impact or likely impact a project, proposed service change, commissioning, decommissioning or policy will have on people with protected characteristics listed above. It should be considered at the beginning of the decision making process.

### **The Lead Officer responsibility**

This is the person writing the report for the decision maker. It is the responsibility of the Lead Officer to make sure that the Equality Impact Analysis is robust and proportionate to the decision being taken.

### **Summary of findings**

You must provide a clear and concise summary of the key findings of this Equality Impact Analysis in the decision making report and attach this Equality Impact Analysis to the report.

## Impact – definition

An impact is an intentional or unintentional lasting consequence or significant change to people's lives brought about by an action or series of actions.

### How much detail to include?

The Equality Impact Analysis should be proportionate to the impact of proposed change. In deciding this asking simple questions “Who might be affected by this decision?” “Which protected characteristics might be affected?” and “How might they be affected?” will help you consider the extent to which you already have evidence, information and data, and where there are gaps that you will need to explore. Ensure the source and date of any existing data is referenced.

You must consider both obvious and any less obvious impacts. Engaging with people with the protected characteristics will help you to identify less obvious impacts as these groups share their perspectives with you.

A given proposal may have a positive impact on one or more protected characteristics and have an adverse impact on others. You must capture these differences in this form to help decision makers to arrive at a view as to where the balance of advantage or disadvantage lies. If an adverse impact is unavoidable then it must be clearly justified and recorded as such, with an explanation as to why no steps can be taken to avoid the impact. Consequences must be included.

Page 339

**Proposals for more than one option** If more than one option is being proposed you must ensure that the Equality Impact Analysis covers all options. Depending on the circumstances, it may be more appropriate to complete an Equality Impact Analysis for each option.

**The information you provide in this form must be sufficient to allow the decision maker to fulfil their role as above. You must include the latest version of the Equality Impact Analysis with the report to the decision maker. Please be aware that the information in this form must be able to stand up to legal challenge.**

## Background Information

<b>Title of the policy / project / service being considered</b>	Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Lincolnshire	<b>Person / people completing analysis</b>	Matthew Michell Senior Commissioning Officer (Waste)
<b>Service Area</b>	Planning Services	<b>Lead Officer</b>	Neil McBride Planning Manager
<b>Who is the decision maker?</b>	Each individual LWP member authority	<b>How was the Equality Impact Analysis undertaken?</b>	Desk top exercise initially. Now includes feedback from stakeholder consultation which specifically asked about impacts on protected characteristics.
<b>Date of meeting when decision will be made</b>	<a href="#">Click here to enter a date.</a>	<b>Version control</b>	V1.3
<b>Is this proposed change to an existing policy/service/project or is it new?</b>	Existing policy/service/project	<b>LCC directly delivered, commissioned, re-commissioned or de-commissioned?</b>	Commissioned
<b>Describe the proposed change</b>	Revision of the Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy which sets out the Lincolnshire Waste Partnership's strategic vision for waste management services.		

### **Evidencing the impacts**

In this section you will explain the difference that proposed changes are likely to make on people with protected characteristics. To help you do this first consider the impacts the proposed changes may have on people without protected characteristics before then considering the impacts the proposed changes may have on people with protected characteristics.

You must evidence here who will benefit and how they will benefit. If there are no benefits that you can identify please state 'No perceived benefit' under the relevant protected characteristic. You can add sub categories under the protected characteristics to make clear the impacts. For example under Age you may have considered the impact on 0-5 year olds or people aged 65 and over, under Race you may have considered Eastern European migrants, under Sex you may have considered specific impacts on men.

### **Data to support impacts of proposed changes**

When considering the equality impact of a decision it is important to know who the people are that will be affected by any change.

#### Population data and the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment

The Lincolnshire Research Observatory (LRO) holds a range of population data by the protected characteristics. This can help put a decision into context. Visit the LRO website and its population theme page by following this link: <http://www.research-lincs.org.uk> If you cannot find what you are looking for, or need more information, please contact the LRO team. You will also find information about the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment on the LRO website.

#### Workforce profiles

You can obtain information by many of the protected characteristics for the Council's workforce and comparisons with the labour market on the [Council's website](#). As of 1<sup>st</sup> April 2015, managers can obtain workforce profile data by the protected characteristics for their specific areas using Agresso.

**Positive impacts**

The proposed change may have the following positive impacts on persons with protected characteristics – If no positive impact, please state 'no positive impact'.

<b>Age</b>	14% of consultation responses identified a positive impact, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Environmental benefits good for future generations</li><li>- Service improvements could improve accessibility for those with mobility issues, both at kerbside and at Household Waste Recycling Centres</li></ul>
<b>Disability</b>	9% of consultation responses identified a positive impact, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Service changes could improve accessibility for those with mobility issues, both at kerbside and at Household Waste Recycling Centres</li></ul>
<b>Gender reassignment</b>	no positive impact
<b>Marriage and civil partnership</b>	no positive impact
<b>Pregnancy and maternity</b>	9% of consultation responses identified a positive impact, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Service changes could improve accessibility for those with mobility issues, both at kerbside and at Household Waste Recycling Centres</li></ul>
<b>Race</b>	no positive impact
<b>Religion or belief</b>	no positive impact

<b>Sex</b>	no positive impact
<b>Sexual orientation</b>	no positive impact

**If you have identified positive impacts for other groups not specifically covered by the protected characteristics in the Equality Act 2010 you can include them here if it will help the decision maker to make an informed decision.**

Many consultation responses identified that the environmental benefits of the JMWMS would be good for everyone. For most groups more responses identified a positive impact than a negative one.

### Adverse/negative impacts

You must evidence how people with protected characteristics will be adversely impacted and any proposed mitigation to reduce or eliminate adverse impacts. An adverse impact causes disadvantage or exclusion. If such an impact is identified please state how, as far as possible, it is justified; eliminated; minimised or counter balanced by other measures.

If there are no adverse impacts that you can identify please state 'No perceived adverse impact' under the relevant protected characteristic.

**Negative impacts of the proposed change and practical steps to mitigate or avoid any adverse consequences on people with protected characteristics are detailed below. If you have not identified any mitigating action to reduce an adverse impact please state 'No mitigating action identified'.**

<b>Age</b>	19% of consultation responses identified a negative impact, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- May be confused by service changes. Mitigation = Focussed communications through a variety of methods for all</li><li>- May have difficulty moving heavy wheelie bins. Mitigation = Assisted collections available</li><li>- May have difficulty with repeated emptying of kitchen food caddy. Mitigation = kitchen caddy is very small with liner making it easy to remove</li><li>- Possible infection from decaying food waste. Mitigation = Lidded kitchen caddy; exterior bin; weekly collections</li><li>- Difficult to access Household Waste Recycling Centres or bring banks. Mitigation = Proactive assistance from site staff</li></ul>
<b>Disability</b>	21% of consultation responses identified a negative impact, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- May be confused by service changes. Mitigation = Focussed communications through a variety of methods for all</li><li>- May have difficulty moving heavy wheelie bins. Mitigation = Assisted collections available</li><li>- May have difficulty with repeated emptying of kitchen food caddy. Mitigation = kitchen caddy is very small with liner making it easy to remove</li><li>- Possible infection from decaying food waste. Mitigation = Lidded kitchen caddy; exterior bin; weekly collections</li><li>- Difficult to access HWRC's or bring banks. Mitigation = Proactive assistance from site staff is already available</li><li>- Access to plastic recycling if kerbside service removed due to Deposit Return Scheme. Mitigation = Consideration of alternative service if this happens</li></ul>



<b>Gender reassignment</b>	No perceived adverse impact
<b>Marriage and civil partnership</b>	No perceived adverse impact
<b>Pregnancy and maternity</b>	<p>13% of consultation responses identified a negative impact, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- May be confused by service changes (a consultation response suggested this). Mitigation = Focussed communications through a variety of methods for all</li> <li>- May have difficulty moving heavy wheelie bins. Mitigation = Assisted collections available</li> <li>- May have difficulty with repeated emptying of kitchen food caddy. Mitigation = kitchen caddy is very small with liner making it easy to remove</li> <li>- Possible infection from decaying food waste. Mitigation = Lidded kitchen caddy; exterior bin; weekly collections</li> <li>- Difficult to access HWRC's or bring banks. Mitigation = Proactive assistance from site staff</li> <li>- Nappies &amp; formula milk produce extra waste. Mitigation = partners continue to promote breast-feeding to those who are able and reusable nappies</li> </ul>
<b>Race</b>	<p>4% of consultation responses identified a negative impact, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- May be confused by service changes (where English is not first language). Mitigation = Focussed communications through a variety of methods</li> </ul>
<b>Religion or belief</b>	No perceived adverse impact
<b>Sex</b>	<p>6% of consultation responses identified a negative impact, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- May have difficulty moving heavy wheelie bins (a consultation response suggested this). Mitigation = Assisted collections available</li> <li>- Difficult to access HWRC's or bring banks ("parents with children and single parent families being more likely to be female"). Mitigation = Proactive assistance from site staff</li> </ul>

<b>Sexual orientation</b>	No perceived adverse impact
---------------------------	-----------------------------

**If you have identified negative impacts for other groups not specifically covered by the protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010 you can include them here if it will help the decision maker to make an informed decision.**

Residents of terraced properties - Lack of space for storing multiple bins. Mitigation = Consideration of alternative service

## Stakeholders

Stake holders are people or groups who may be directly affected (primary stakeholders) and indirectly affected (secondary stakeholders)

You must evidence here who you involved in gathering your evidence about benefits, adverse impacts and practical steps to mitigate or avoid any adverse consequences. You must be confident that any engagement was meaningful. The Community engagement team can help you to do this and you can contact them at [consultation@lincolnshire.gov.uk](mailto:consultation@lincolnshire.gov.uk)

State clearly what (if any) consultation or engagement activity took place by stating who you involved when compiling this EIA under the protected characteristics. Include organisations you invited and organisations who attended, the date(s) they were involved and method of involvement i.e. Equality Impact Analysis workshop/email/telephone conversation/meeting/consultation. State clearly the objectives of the EIA consultation and findings from the EIA consultation under each of the protected characteristics. If you have not covered any of the protected characteristics please state the reasons why they were not consulted/engaged.

## Objective(s) of the EIA consultation/engagement activity

The questionnaire for the consultation on the overall contents of the JMWMS included a question asking respondents to identify any impacts as a result of protected characteristics.

**Who was involved in the EIA consultation/engagement activity? Detail any findings identified by the protected characteristic**

<b>Age</b>	Positive and negative impacts have been updated following consultation. Almost one fifth of consultation respondents identified a negative impact, a slightly smaller number identified benefits. These are listed in the impacts sections above.
<b>Disability</b>	Over 20% of consultation respondents identified potential negative impacts, which is representative of the estimated population in the county. All matters have been reviewed and mitigation identified in the impacts sections above.
<b>Gender reassignment</b>	
<b>Marriage and civil partnership</b>	
<b>Pregnancy and maternity</b>	Matters raised during consultation have been noted, alongside their mitigation, in the impacts section above.
<b>Race</b>	A representative four percent of respondents identified issues and mitigation is in place, as identified above.
<b>Religion or belief</b>	

<b>Sex</b>	A small number of consultation respondents identified issues relating to gender and have mitigations in place.
<b>Sexual orientation</b>	
<b>Are you confident that everyone who should have been involved in producing this version of the Equality Impact Analysis has been involved in a meaningful way?</b> The purpose is to make sure you have got the perspective of all the protected characteristics.	Yes. Because of data protection concerns (asking so many detailed questions might have led to the unintentional identification of some respondents), the JMWMS consultation survey did not ask people for personal information. However, the consultation on the overall contents of the JMWMS allowed anyone with an interest in our waste services to identify any impacts on those with protected characteristics.
<b>Once the changes have been implemented how will you undertake evaluation of the benefits and how effective the actions to reduce adverse impacts have been?</b>	Any impacts identified through the survey were assessed and are summarised in this EIA. This EIA will be reviewed in Summer 2019, around 6 months after the anticipated adoption of the JMWMS..

### Further Details

<b>Are you handling personal data?</b>	<p>No</p> <p>If yes, please give details.</p>
--	---

Actions required	Action	Lead officer	Timescale
Include any actions identified in this analysis for on-going monitoring of impacts.	Review around 6 months after the anticipated adoption of the JMWMS..	Neil McBride LCC Planning Manager	Summer 2019
<b>Signed off by</b>		<b>Date</b>	Click here to enter a date.